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1. What are the major goals and objectives of the project?

Public interest in sourcing local foods has extended into beverages, and the current demand for local brewing and distilling ingredients is quickly increasing. One new market that has generated interest of both farmers and end-users is malting barley. Until recently, local malt was not readily available to brewers or distillers. However, a rapid expansion of the fledgling malting industry will give farmers new markets and end-users hope of readily available malt. One major obstacle for growers is Fusarium head blight (FHB) infection of grain. This disease is currently the most important disease facing organic and conventional grain growers in the Northeast, resulting in loss of yield, shriveled grain, and most importantly, mycotoxin contamination. The project objectives were to:
1. Evaluate spring and winter barley varieties in order to identify those that are suitable for malting and adapted to the Northeast.
2. Determine the efficacy of using fungicides to control FHB infection of spring malting barley.
3. Determine the efficacy of using fungicides to control FHB infection of spring wheat.

2. What was accomplished under these goals?

Objective 1. Continue to evaluate spring and winter barley varieties in order to identify those that are suitable for malting and adapted to the Northeast.

Variety trials were implemented to identify varieties suitable for malting and adapted to the Northeast. Twenty-four winter barley cultivars were established in September 2015. In addition, a spring barley variety trial, consisting of twenty-five different varieties, was established in April 2016. These varieties were evaluated for disease resistance/tolerance as well as yield and quality.

Many of the varieties in the winter barley trial were developed in environments much different from New England. Hence, it is important to evaluate the varieties for tolerance to our climate. The winter survival of the malting barley plots were assessed on April 21, 2016. There was severe winterkill in all of the plots and therefore the trial was terminated.

Overall, the 2016 growing season was ideal for growing spring barley. The warmer than average temperatures along with below normal rainfall throughout much of the growing season resulted in higher yields and quality. The average yield was 3,213 lbs ac⁻¹, 1,635 lbs ac⁻¹ higher than the 2015 average yield. Test weight, a measure of grain plumpness, also an indicator used to determine malt quality, was the only quality parameter that was low, all varieties were below the ideal malting test weight of 48 lbs per bushel. However, kernel plumpness did not appear to be impacted, all varieties were above 90% for plumpness. The average percent protein this year was 10.4%, 2.88% higher than the trial mean in 2015. Twenty-three of the 25 spring barley varieties had protein levels that met industry standards. All varieties were below the 1ppm FDA recommend limit for DON concentration. The average DON level in 2016 was 0.23 ppm, 1.18 ppm lower than the average DON level in 2015. Outcomes showed that in an excellent growing season the Northeast could produce significant yield and high quality barley.
Objective 2. Determine the efficacy of using fungicides to control Fusarium head blight infection of spring malting barley.

Fungicide applications have proven to be relatively effective at controlling FHB in other barley growing regions. No work has been done in this region on the optimum timing for a fungicide application to barley specifically to minimize DON levels. In addition, there are limited studies evaluating organic approved biofungicides, biochemicals, or biostimulants for management of this disease. In April of 2016 we initiated the third year of a spring barley fungicide trial to determine the efficacy and timing of fungicide application to reduce FHB infection on cultivars with varying degrees of disease susceptibility. These treatments were assessed for FHB severity as well as yield and quality.

The warmer than average temperatures along with below normal rainfall throughout much of the growing season resulted in minimal fungal growth. This is evident in the low DON concentrations in both varieties. All of the treatments, including the untreated control and the Fusarium only plots, had DON concentrations below the 1 ppm threshold. The application of a conventional fungicide (Prosaro) at heading and 4-days after heading had the lowest DON concentrations and the highest yields. It is interesting, given the ideal growing conditions, that none of the treatments attained the industry standard for test weight. Drought conditions might have contributed to overall low test weights. Future research across years is needed to evaluate fungicides.

Objective 3. Determine the efficacy of using fungicides to control FHB infection of spring wheat.

Limited work has been done in this region on fungicide application to spring wheat specifically to minimize FHB and ultimately reduce DON mycotoxin production. In April of 2016, we established a spring wheat fungicide trial to determine the efficacy of a conventional fungicide application to reduce FHB infection on cultivars with varying degrees of disease susceptibility.

Similar results were recorded in the spring wheat fungicide trial. All of the treatments had DON concentrations below the 1 ppm threshold. Prosaro applied at flowering had lowest DON concentrations. By variety, Glenn had the lowest harvest moisture and DON concentrations.

3. What opportunities for training and professional development has the project provided?

Through this project 387 stakeholders received training at 1 conference and 5 field days.

4. How have the results been disseminated to communities of interest?

Research reports were written for each of the trials and disseminated via our website, www.uvm.edu/extension/cropsoil and hard copies were distributed at our conferences, workshops and field days to 387 stakeholders.
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   - No
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Add rows if needed.

**NOTE:** List the associated release notice or publication under the appropriate sub-section in the ‘Publications’ section of the FPR.

**Abbreviations for Grain Classes**
- Barley - BAR
- Durum - DUR
- Hard Red Winter - HRW
- Hard White Winter - HWW
- Hard Red Spring - HRS
- Soft Red Winter - SRW
- Soft White Winter - SWW
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