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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>USWBSI Research Category*</th>
<th>Project Title</th>
<th>ARS Award Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BAR-CP</td>
<td>Developing Six-rowed Malting Barley Varieties with FHB Resistance and Low DON.</td>
<td>$89,310</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BAR-CP</td>
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</tr>
<tr>
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* MGMT – FHB Management  
FSTU – Food Safety, Toxicology, & Utilization of Mycotoxin-contaminated Grain  
GDER – Gene Discovery & Engineering Resistance  
PBG – Pathogen Biology & Genetics  
EC-HQ – Executive Committee-Headquarters  
BAR-CP – Barley Coordinated Project  
DUR-CP – Durum Coordinated Project  
HWW-CP – Hard Winter Wheat Coordinated Project  
WES-CP – Western Coordinated Project  
VDHR – Variety Development & Uniform Nurseries – Sub categories are below:  
SPR – Spring Wheat Region  
NWW – Northern Soft Winter Wheat Region  
SWW – Southern Soft Red Winter Wheat Region
Project 1: Developing Six-rowed Malting Barley Varieties with FHB Resistance and Low DON.

1. What major problem or issue is being resolved relevant to Fusarium head blight (scab) and how are you resolving it?

New barley cultivars with improved FHB resistance, lower DON, high yield, and acceptable malting quality are needed to sustain barley production in the Midwest. Currently available varieties lack sufficient resistance to mitigate the risk of DON contamination in years when the disease is severe. We released the cultivar Quest with a moderate level of resistance that is sufficient in years of low levels of disease. Thus, we are continuing our breeding effort to further increase the level of resistance while maintaining and/or improving agronomic performance and malting quality. We are implementing a comprehensive breeding program that utilizes diverse sources of resistance, implements selection using genomic prediction and field trial performance data, and evaluates cultivar candidates in multi-location trials across the region.

2. List the most important accomplishments and their impact (i.e. how are they being used) to minimize the threat of Fusarium Head Blight or to reduce mycotoxins. Complete both sections; repeat sections for each major accomplishment:

**Accomplishment:**
We collect a wide range of performance data on current cultivars available in the region. Data from Quest shows that it has approximately half the level of DON compared to current popular cultivars and similar yield levels in Minnesota. This information is made available to growers and to the malting and brewing industry.

**Impact:**
The cultivar Quest went from 0.2% of the acres in Minnesota in 2013 to 12.4% in 2014. Producers growing Quest have reduced exposure to the risk of FHB and will produce grain with lower levels of DON compared to other six-row cultivars.

**Accomplishment:**
One of our five submissions to industry pilot malt evaluations was rated as satisfactory, M160 (M139/FEG160-03). This line has similar resistance to FHB compared to Quest, slightly better yield, and a better malt profile.

**Impact:**
If M160 performs well in 2015, it could be moved to plant-scale testing and increased for release.
Project 2: Genomic Selection for FHB Resistance in Midwest Six-row Barley.

1. What major problem or issue is being resolved relevant to Fusarium head blight (scab) and how are you resolving it?

Selecting for FHB resistance in the field is laborious, expensive, and inherently imprecise. Despite these challenges, we have made slow progress enhancing FHB resistance in barley. To complement phenotypic selection for FHB resistance, we initiated a genomic selection (GS) approach using large marker and trait data sets to predict breeding values for DON concentration and yield in early generation breeding lines that have not been phenotyped. This approach dramatically reduces our breeding cycle time from four years to one year and should accelerate development of new cultivars. In the fall of 2014 we completed the 5th cycle of selection using 384 SNP markers on ~2,000 breeding lines this past year. We published a study investigating the accuracy of GS using data from our breeding program (Sallam et al., 2015). We are conducting experiments to directly measure the accuracy of GS in our selection program as well as gain from selection. We are using information from these studies to fine tune our GS methodology.

2. List the most important accomplishments and their impact (i.e. how are they being used) to minimize the threat of Fusarium Head Blight or to reduce mycotoxins. Complete both sections; repeat sections for each major accomplishment:

**Accomplishment:**

We have conducted a series of experiments that evaluate the use of genomic selection. We have examined the impact of various parameters of GS on prediction accuracy including training population size and composition as well as marker panel size and composition.

**Impact:**

We have provided useful information to others that have expressed interest in using GS. We have replaced phenotypic selection of early generation breeding lines with predictions. This has resulted in a 50% reduction of the size of our FHB nursery for spring six-row barley freeing up resources for winter and two-rowed breeding programs.
Training of Next Generation Scientists

**Instructions:** Please answer the following questions as it pertains to the FY14 award period. The term “support” below includes any level of benefit to the student, ranging from full stipend plus tuition to the situation where the student’s stipend was paid from other funds, but who learned how to rate scab in a misted nursery paid for by the USWBSI, and anything in between.

1. Did any graduate students in your research program supported by funding from your USWBSI grant earn their MS degree during the FY14 award period?
   - No
   - If yes, how many?

2. Did any graduate students in your research program supported by funding from your USWBSI grant earn their Ph.D. degree during the FY14 award period?
   - Yes
   - If yes, how many? 1

3. Have any post docs who worked for you during the FY14 award period and were supported by funding from your USWBSI grant taken faculty positions with universities?
   - Yes
   - If yes, how many? 1

4. Have any post docs who worked for you during the FY14 award period and were supported by funding from your USWBSI grant gone on to take positions with private ag-related companies or federal agencies?
   - None
   - If yes, how many?
Include below a list of all germplasm or cultivars released with full or partial support of the USWBSI during the FY14 award period. List the release notice or publication. Briefly describe the level of FHB resistance. If not applicable because your grant did NOT include any VDHR-related projects, enter N/A below.

None

Include below a list of the publications, presentations, peer-reviewed articles, and non-peer reviewed articles written about your work that resulted from all of the projects included in the FY14 grant. Please reference each item using an accepted journal format. If you need more space, continue the list on the next page.
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Presentations


(Form – FPR14)