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USWBSI Individual Project(s)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>USWBSI Research Category*</th>
<th>Project Title</th>
<th>ARS Adjusted Award Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MGMT</td>
<td>Efficacy of Fungicides against Nivalenol Chemotypes of Fusarium graminearum.</td>
<td>$ 6,112</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VDHR-SWW</td>
<td>Developing FHB-Resistant Wheat Cultivars for the Midsouth.</td>
<td>$ 64,625</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Total Award Amount</strong></td>
<td><strong>$ 70,737</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* MGMT – FHB Management  
FSTU – Food Safety, Toxicology, & Utilization of Mycotoxin-contaminated Grain  
GDER – Gene Discovery & Engineering Resistance  
PBG – Pathogen Biology & Genetics  
BAR-CP – Barley Coordinated Project  
DUR-CP – Durum Coordinated Project  
HWW-CP – Hard Winter Wheat Coordinated Project  
VDHR – Variety Development & Uniform Nurseries – Sub categories are below:  
   SPR – Spring Wheat Region  
   NWW – Northern Winter Wheat Region  
   SWW – Southern Sinter Wheat Region
Project 1: *Efficacy of Fungicides against Nivalenol Chemotypes of Fusarium graminearum.*

1. **What major problem or issue is being resolved relevant to Fusarium head blight (scab) and how are you resolving it?**

   The major issues are to determine if fungicides that are effective against DON chemotype isolates of *Fusarium graminearum* are equally effective against NIV chemotype isolates and to determine the levels of NIV contamination in grain that are likely to occur from epidemics involving NIV chemotype isolates. This is being resolved by evaluating treatments in the Uniform Fungicide Trial for efficacy against NIV chemotype isolates under field conditions.

2. **List the most important accomplishment and its impact (i.e. how is it being used) to minimize the threat of Fusarium head blight or to reduce mycotoxins. Complete both sections (repeat sections for each major accomplishment):**

   **Accomplishment:** Fungicides that are effective against isolates of the DON chemotype were found to have similar efficacy against isolates of the NIV chemotype.

   **Impact:** The same fungicides can be used to manage both chemotypes, simplifying the use of fungicides to manage the new NIV population.

   **Accomplishment:** NIV levels in grain were determined to be 2.2, 1.2 and 1.4 ppm for nontreated, Prosaro-treated, and Caramba-treated plots, respectively.

   **Impact:** Given that NIV is several times more toxic than DON to humans and animals, these levels indicate that NIV contamination poses a significant health risk in areas where the NIV chemotype occurs.

Project 2: *Developing FHB-Resistant Wheat Cultivars for the Midsouth.*

1. **What major problem or issue is being resolved relevant to Fusarium head blight (scab) and how are you resolving it?**

   The issues are to develop FHB-resistant wheat varieties that are competitive with contemporary susceptible varieties and to encourage growers to plant varieties with FHB resistance rather than susceptible varieties. We are contributing to the development of competitive resistant varieties by 1) making crosses and selections for FHB resistance, 2) evaluating the Southern Uniform Winter Wheat Scab Nursery (SUWWSN), the most promising lines from the LSU breeding program, and the Uniform Southern Soft Red Winter Wheat Nursery for resistance to FHB and other important diseases, and developing new methods for quantifying various components of FHB resistance and selecting resistant lines. We are contributing to the increase in acreage planted to FHB-resistant varieties by 1) determining the FHB reactions for commonly-grown and promising replacement varieties, 2) disseminating these reactions via ScabSmart, Extension publications and newsletters, and popular press articles, and 3) attempting to make FHB reactions publically available for branded varieties developed with USWBSI funding.
2. List the most important accomplishment and its impact (i.e. how is it being used) to minimize the threat of Fusarium head blight or to reduce mycotoxins. Complete both sections (repeat sections for each major accomplishment):

**Accomplishment:** Submitted 9 wheat lines with FHB resistance to the 2010 SUWWSN.  
**Impact:** Several Arkansas lines are usually among the most resistant lines in the nursery, and some of these lines may be released as varieties or used as parents in other breeding programs.

**Accomplishment:** A new method was developed for quantifying both type I and type II resistances in one experiment.  
**Impact:** The method has been used to evaluate commonly-grown varieties in Arkansas and entries in the SUWWSN, and the method has been accepted for publication in Plant Disease.

**Accomplishment:** Lines evaluated for FHB resistance in field nurseries are now visually evaluated for general phenotype using the LSU 1 to 9 scale, and lines harvested for evaluating percentage of scabby grain are now also evaluated for relative yield compared to check varieties.  
**Impact:** These additional variables should facilitate development of FHB-resistant varieties that are competitive with contemporary susceptible varieties.

**Accomplishment:** Injecting wheat spikes with DON at flowering and measuring relative yield compared to check spikes of similar size and maturity identified lines that were previously reported to have tolerance to FHB based on multiple years of field data.  
**Impact:** This method may allow for more efficient selection of lines with tolerance to FHB and has been submitted for publication in Plant Disease.

**Accomplishment:** FHB reactions, based on both greenhouse and field evaluations, for commonly-grown and promising replacement varieties in Arkansas were determined.  
**Impact:** These reactions allow growers to avoid the most susceptible varieties and choose resistant varieties that have other beneficial traits and allow estimates of the acreage planted to resistant varieties in Arkansas.

**Accomplishment:** Informed the Steering Committee about problems associated with branded varieties being marketed without the FHB reactions that were determined using USWBSI funding.  
**Impact:** Too soon to determine.

Include below a list all germplasm or cultivars released with full or partial support of the USWBSI. List the release notice or publication. Briefly describe the level of FHB resistance.

No cultivars or germplasm lines were released during this reporting period.
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