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Project 1: **Integrated Project - Ground and Aerial Application of Fungicides for Improved FHB Control.**

1. **What major problem or issue is being resolved and how are you resolving it?**

Control of FHB through fungicide application appears to have limitations that are not related to the fungicide but to the application techniques of the fungicide. Study of spray application technologies to maximize fungicide performance may provide more consistent results when fungicide application is necessary to protect the crop from widespread losses. Approximately 50% of the fungicide is applied by both ground and aerial spray applicators with shifts to one type of applicator occurring depending on weather. The aerial applicator apply more in years when ground application equipment can not perform due to wet conditions. The ground applications are more often performed by farmers than aerial application technique. Limited quantities of research have been done with aerial application techniques to apply fungicide to small grains.

2. **What were the most significant accomplishments?**

Grain test weights were reduced by smaller drop size aerial spray applications. Fusarium head blight incidence and field severities by spray volumes were different among locations. Ground application studies identified limitations of several techniques used to qualify the coverage one would obtain with various spray technologies as they apply to spraying the grain spike. An NDSU system utilizing a fluorescent dye to determine coverage worked well on barley and durum but not as well on hard red spring wheat. Cards placed in the canopy documented the coverage associated with wind but were not an effective deposition measurement system when spray volumes were greater than 10 GPA. Results showed the forward/backward nozzle configuration with an XR8001 nozzle to be a very excellent system when travels speeds were in the walking range of 3 to 4 mph. As travel speeds increased, a forward oriented nozzle was as effective as the forward/ backward configuration. Growers would typically travel at greater speeds than 4 mph. A drop size study showed that greater quantities of fungicide were deposited on the spike with an XR8002 nozzle @ 40psi (large/ fine drop size)* than an XR8001 nozzle @ 40psi (medium/ fine drop size) or an XR8003 @ 20psi nozzle (large/ medium drop size). The two air assisted type spray systems tested produce droplets smaller than the XR8001 nozzle and both sprayers had difficulty depositing the drops on the crop spikes. Further testing needs to be done to identify the appropriate drop size and air speed to improve spray deposition on the spike. The water sensitive cards were not effective with air assist sprayers as they did not simulate the same movement of the spike as the sprayer passes over the canopy.

* Droplet size classifications are based on BCPC specifications and are in accordance with ASAE Standard S-573.
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