Project FY22-FS-003: Evaluation of Barley and Spring Wheat for Deoxynivalenol

1. What are the major goals and objectives of the research project?

The goal of this project is to provide barley, wheat and durum breeders, plant pathologists, and other researchers working on the development of *Fusarium* resistant barley, wheat and durum with affordable, accurate and timely DON analysis.

2. What was accomplished under these goals or objectives? (For each major goal/objective, address these three items below.)

What were the major activities?

Analysis of 17,456 samples, including 10,238 wheat, 6,650 barley, and 568 rice samples (exclusive of checks and standard curves) were analyzed for DON during the reporting period. Almost 2000 samples were ground at the NDSU DON lab. Thirty-eight researchers from 11 US states (ND, SD, MT, MN, NE, NC, KS, TX, ID, CA and NY) submitted the samples and the majority of them were from breeding and pathology programs. Some of the samples were from other researchers.

The number of tested samples exceeded the 2024 allotment (17,456 versus 15,842).

What were the significant results?

Completion of analyzing submitted samples largely within the reporting period or following the receipt of submitted samples. The results were submitted to and accepted by all cooperators involved in USWBSI research, except for 300 samples was sent to us after the DON lab shut down for annual maintenance. We will assist to grind the sample and analyze the toxins as soon as possible when the new FY testing starts.

List key outcomes or other achievements.

The major outcome of this project was that we were able to effectively analyze all of the samples sent by the 38 cooperators by the end of the funding term. Results were sent back and accepted by the cooperators of USWBSI.

3. What opportunities for training and professional development has the project provided?

An undergraduate student and a graduate student assisted in the laboratory with the testing. They have learned basic laboratory skills and laboratory quality control.

4. How have the results been disseminated to communities of interest?

Results have been sent directly to investigators. Lab protocol and allotments are posted on the USWBSI website.

5. What do you plan to do during the next reporting period to accomplish the goals and objectives?

Between May and July, we allocate additional time to complete testing for samples that exceeded the original allotment or were submitted late. As part of our operations planning for the next reporting period, the following steps will be taken: (i) Complete equipment maintenance between June and August; (ii) Conduct a survey to estimate the number of samples expected from cooperators in the upcoming year, and provide guidance on samples preparation and submission and result reporting; (iii) Procure supplies and prepare the internal lab check samples used for the DON testing in the next reporting period; (iv) Start analyzing samples upon receipt and promptly send back the results to the cooperators; (v) Perform monthly tests of Trilogy QC samples to ensure consistent quality and reliability of results.

FY24 Annual DON Lab Report on Sample Numbers

Please complete the following required data points for your DON lab analysis that took place during May 1, 2024 – April 30, 2025.



Detailed DON Lab PI Sample Result Breakdown

(additional rows can be added as needed)

| Principal | Grain Class | Institution | Allotment FY | # Samples | # Samples |
|----------------|--|--------------|--------------------|----------------|-------------------|
| Investigator | (*Indicate sample type: BAR, HRSW, HWW, SWW, DUR) | | Budgeted # | Analyzed in FY | Ground by DON Lab |
| Baldwin | BAR | NDSU | 2500 | 2334 | |
| Cai | HRSW/DUR | USDA-ARS NE | 300 | 387 | 387 |
| Chapara | BAR | NDSU | 272 | 71 | |
| Chapara | HRSW | NDSU | 272 | 136 | |
| Crutcher/Yimer | BAR | MSU | 174 | 234 | |
| Crutcher | DUR | MSU | 90 | 90 | |
| Crutcher | HRSW | MSU | 232 | 232 | |
| Crutcher | WW | MSU | 100 | 100 | |
| Elias | DUR | NDSU | 600 | 408 | |
| Forester | HRSW | NDSU | 100 | 18 | |
| Frels | WW/BAR | UNE-Lincoln | 470 | 475 | |
| Friskop | BAR | NDSU | 328 | 104 | |
| Friskop | HRSW | NDSU | 328 | 220 | |
| Friskop | DUR | NDSU | 328 | 88 | |
| Gao/Hu | BAR | USDA -ARS ID | 1500 | 1557 | 500 |
| Green | HRSW | NDSU | 2000 | 2448 | |
| Horsley | BAR | NDSU | 2000 | 1272 | |
| Ibrahim/Liu | HRSW | TX A&M | 100 | 232 | |
| Jin | BAR | NDSU | 500 | 345 | 345 |
| Jordan | HRSW | KSU | 600 | 540 | |
| Keene | HRSW | NDSU | 324 | 1350 | |
| Li | DUR | USDA ND | 60 | 76 | |
| Marais | WW | NDSU | 0 | 0 | |
| Muehlbauer | BAR | UMN | 0 | 50 | |
| Nonoy | HRSW | USDA-ARS NC | 400 | 400 | |
| Ostlie | DUR | NDSU | 400 | 36 | |
| Ostlie | HRSW | NDSU | 400 | 84 | |
| Rao | HRSW | NDSU | 400 | 162 | |
| Rao | Rice | NDSU | 400 | 568 | |
| Rupp | HRSW | KSU | 960 | 180 | |
| Sehgal | DUR | SDSU | 850 | 938 | |
| Shires | HRSW | SDSU | 550 | 505 | |
| Smith | BAR | UMN | 80 | 200 | |
| Sorrels | BAR | Cornell | 392 | 340 | |
| Timmerman | BAR | Bush Ag | 0 | 0 | |
| Shengming Yang | BAR | USDA | Added after survey | 43 | |
| Steven Xu | HRSW | USDA | Added after survey | 741 | 741 |
| Zhang | BAR | UC-Davis | 100 | 100 | |
| Zhong | HRSW | NDSU | 250 | 392 | |
| | | | | | |

^{*}BAR=Barley, HRSW=Hard Red Spring Wheat, HWW=Hard Winter Wheat, SWW=Soft Winter Wheat, DUR= Durum

| TOTALS | # Analyzed |
|---|------------|
| Total # Overall of Samples Analyzed | 17456 |
| Total # of Regular Grain Samples (4-100g) | 16287 |
| Total # of Small Grain Samples (<4g) | 601 |
| Total # of Specialty Samples, please specify: | Rice 568 |
| Total # of Specialty Samples, please specify: | |
| Total # of Specialty Samples, please specify: | |

Target Toxins Analyzed

| Check all analyzed: | Toxin | # Analyzed |
|---------------------|------------------------|------------|
| \boxtimes | DON | 17456 |
| \boxtimes | DON3G | 34 |
| \boxtimes | 15-Acetyl-DON | 568 |
| \boxtimes | 3-Acetyl-DON | 568 |
| \boxtimes | Nivalenol | 568 |
| | Zearalenone | |
| | NX3 | |
| | Other, please specify: | |

Annual DON Quality Control Data

Internal lab quality control data (separate QC from Trilogy)

| | Check 1 | Check 2 | Check 3 | Check 4 |
|------------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| N ^a | 247 | 233 | 220 | 209 |
| Mean (ppm) | 2.21 | 0.49 | 8.79 | 17.13 |
| SD ^b | 0.40 | 0.13 | 1.28 | 2.31 |
| %CV ^c | 17.86 | 26.03 | 14.5 | 13.48 |

^a Number of check samples. ^b Standard deviation. ^c Coefficient of variance

Return your completed report along with your annual Performance Report submission. Please direct any questions to the USWBSI Networking and Facilitation Office (NFO) at nfo@scabusa.org. Thank you!