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Fusarium Head Blight: A Sporadic Disease

- Disease intensity (field severity and incidence) and mycotoxin (e.g., DON) varies considerably from location to location and from year to year.
- In particular, the disease is not rare, and is not so common that a major epidemic occurs virtually every year.
- There is considerable evidence from controlled experiments and empirical observations that epidemics depend on the environment (weather and/or climate).

It is evident...that several variables strongly influence the percentage of infection. It seems probable that these variables may be meteorological conditions -- Chistristensen, Stakman, & Immer (1929)

There seems little doubt that the overriding limitation to FHB is moisture -- R. W. Stack (2000)
“During the period of 1927-1980, severe disease was reported in 1940, 1942, 1945, 1957, 1967, and 1980”

--J. C. Sutton ('82)
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FHB and the environment

In principle, the occurrence of an epidemic may be due to:

*Cumulative* effects of the environment over different periods of time, coupled with effects of cropping practices, as well as unknowns

Time windows immediately preceding flowering up to harvest may be most informative/practical for predictions (and controls), but other windows can matter

*What are the most important environmental variables and time windows?*
‘Window Pane’ analysis:
Data mining for significant relationships in epidemiology

- Coakley et al. formalized the concept of Window-Pane Analysis in 1982, when they used it to develop models for stripe rust on winter wheat
  - Based on Coakley’s earlier work on climate-disease in late 1970s
- Concept:
  - Determine time-window durations and starting (ending) times of windows when environmental variables are most highly correlated (associated) with disease intensity
- Has been used for other diseases on wheat, potato, and rice
  - Recently refined and expanded to model disease-weather relationships for powdery mildew, yellow rust, and Septoria tritici epidemics on winter wheat, and now FHB
**FHB data sets**

**USA**

- 4 locations (OH, IN, KS, ND), with many years (23-44) per location
- Environment: nearby weather stations
  - Long periods (data for *entire* year)
- Separate analysis/location
- Response variable: ordinal rating *or* FHB Index
- Analysis: Spearman rank correlation, …other…
- Kriss et al. *Phytopathology* 100: 784-797 (analysis)

**Europe**

- 202 location-years; 4 countries (England, Ireland, Italy, Hungary), with up to 4 years/country
- Within-field micro-environment measurements
  - Short periods (*last* part of season)
- Pooled analysis
- Response: FHB Incidence, fungal biomass, *and* toxin
- Analysis: Spearman rank correlation, …other…
- Xu et al. *Phytopathology* 98: 69-78 (data collection)
‘Window Pane’ analysis

• Identify ‘summary’ environmental variables of potential interest, such as:
  – Average daily relative humidity; Hours with relative humidity > 80%;
  – Average daily temperature; Total precipitation; Rain intensity; Hours of high relative humidity (e.g., > 80%) and temperature between 15 and 30 C; …

• Calculate the ‘summary’ environmental values for windows of different durations and starting (or ending) times
  – Example durations:
    • 5, 10, 15, 30, 60, … 280 days
  – Example starting times (if $t = 0$ is end of season and -280 is start of the winter-wheat season [previous year])
    • 0, -1, -2, -3, …. -280 days

• Calculate the relevant statistic (or statistics) for each window relating the environmental summary and biological variable

• New (Kriss et al.; 2010): correct for the multiple-testing problem in performing global and local tests of significance
Determine each environmental summary variable for each window size and time, and relate to disease using appropriate statistic(s).

Both shorter and longer windows are considered. Example: 60-days.
Average Relative Humidity (ARH) and FHB Ordinal Ratings in Ohio

Each vertical bar is a correlation between ARH and FHB (dark red=significant) for a window “beginning” at identified time colonyization, and toxin production, yield reduction.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Window (days)</th>
<th>Max. Corr.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.554</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>0.559</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>0.532</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>0.521</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90</td>
<td>0.491</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>120</td>
<td>0.481</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

General trend of decreasing correlations with increasing window length.

Survival; Early perithecia development?
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Results not yet available for a wide range of summary environmental variables
Conclusions

- ‘Window-Pane’ analysis is a useful data-mining tool for exploring the relationships between environment and FHB
  - Although reported results were based on nonparametric correlation coefficients, many test statistics can be utilized
  - It is very important to correct for the multiple-testing problem to avoid false positives
  - Numerous specialized (and ad hoc) versions of the method are commonly--and successfully--used for analysis, with less formal or less thorough exploration of window length and starting (ending) times

- Many ‘summary’ environmental variables were correlated with FHB (or with biomass or DON [Europe])
  - (Results for most environmental or response variables & locations were not shown here)
  - As found by others, moisture-wetness-type variables had the highest correlations, for both US (Kriss et al.) and European data sets (unpubl.)
  - Pure temperature variables had little correlation with FHB for U.S. With European data, moderate negative correlations were found
Conclusions, continued

• Relatively short windows during the last 2 months of the season dominated in the results
  – Window-length results did not depend greatly on variable or location

• Results can be used to:
  – Guide the development of the next generation of risk prediction models
  – Separate the direct and indirect effects of environment on DON