USDA-ARS

U.S. Wheat and Barley Scab Initiative **FY19 Performance Report**

Due date: July 24, 2020

Cover Page

Principle Investigator (PI):	Elias Elias			
Institution:	North Dakota State University			
E-mail:	elias.elias@ndsu.edu			
Phone:	701-231-8159			
Fiscal Year:	2019			
USDA-ARS Agreement ID:	59-0206-8-198			
USDA-ARS Agreement Title:	Identify and Develop Durum Wheat Resistant to Fusarium Head			
	Blight			
FY19 USDA-ARS Award Amount:	\$ 162,248			
Recipient Organization:	North Dakota State University			
	Office of Grant & Contract Accouting			
	NDSU Dept 3130, PO Box 6050			
	Fargo, ND 58108-0650			
DUNS Number:	80-388-2299			
EIN:	45-6002439			
Recipient Identifying Number or	FAR0028574			
Account Number:				
Project/Grant Reporting Period:	5/5/19 - 5/4/20			
Reporting Period End Date:	5/4/2020			

USWBSI Individual Project(s)

USWBSI Research Category*	Project Title	ARS Award Amount
DUR-CP	Develop Durum Wheat Resistant to Fusarium Head Blight	\$ 134,806
DUR-CP	Identify Sources of Resistance to Fusarium Head Blight in Durum Wheat	\$ 27,442
	FY19 Total ARS Award Amount	\$ 162,248

E.Elia 7/21/2020 **Principal Investigator** Date

MGMT – FHB Management

FST – Food Safety & Toxicology

GDER – Gene Discovery & Engineering Resistance PBG – Pathogen Biology & Genetics

EC-HQ – Executive Committee-Headquarters BAR-CP – Barley Coordinated Project

DUR-CP - Durum Coordinated Project

HWW-CP - Hard Winter Wheat Coordinated Project

VDHR - Variety Development & Uniform Nurseries - Sub categories are below:

SPR - Spring Wheat Region

NWW – Northern Soft Winter Wheat Region

 $SWW-Southern\ Soft\ Red\ Winter\ Wheat\ Region$

PI: Elias, Elias

USDA-ARS Agreement #: 59-0206-8-198

Reporting Period: 5/5/19 - 5/4/20

Project 1: Develop Durum Wheat Resistant to Fusarium Head Blight

1. What are the major goals and objectives of the research project?

The relevance of the project's objectives to the goals and research priorities of the USWBSI are as follows:

- a) Breeding FHB-resistant durum wheat (Action VDHR goals 1-3 and CP priority 3-4);
- b) Screen durum populations/experimental lines for FHB resistance in greenhouses and irrigated field nurseries (Action VDHR goal 2 and CP priority 1);
- c) Evaluate experimental lines for DON (Action VDHR goal 2 and CP priority 4);
- d) Use marker assisted selection at the USDA-ARS Genotyping Center in Fargo, ND for selection of valuable loci (Action VDHR goal 2-3 and CP priority 2);
- e) Evaluate identified FHB resistant lines for quality (Action VDHR goal 2 and CP priority 3-4); and
- f) Develop new populations by crossing adapted germplasm to newly identified sources of resistance (Action VDHR goal 1-3 and CP priority 3-4).
- **2.** What was accomplished under these goals or objectives? (For each major goal/objective, address items a-b) below.)
 - a) What were the major activities?
 - ▶ 3 lines were evaluated in the Uniform Regional Durum Nursery
 - > 26 lines were evaluated in the Elite Advanced Yield Trial
 - ➤ 113 lines were evaluated in the Advanced Yield Trials
 - ➤ 270 lines were evaluated in the Preliminary Yield Trials
 - ▶ 48 populations were screened in the field and greenhouses
 - ▶ 43 new populations were developed
 - > 1,578 lines were tested for DON
 - > 5,400 lines were evaluated in the FHB nursery in Prosper, ND
 - ➤ 1,500 lines were evaluated in the FHB nurseries at Langdon, ND
 - b) What were the significant results?
 - ➤ All material listed in major activities above was successfully screened in FHB field irrigated nurseries and the greenhouse.
 - All experimental lines in yield trials were evaluated for agronomic and quality traits.
 - > Several experimental lines from yield trials were evaluated for low cadmium uptake.
 - ➤ Several experimental lines with moderate resistance combined with low cadmium uptake were selected and advanced for evaluation in 2020.

PI: Elias, Elias

USDA-ARS Agreement #: 59-0206-8-198

Reporting Period: 5/5/19 - 5/4/20

c) List key outcomes or other achievements.

Divide, Carpio, and Joppa, the moderately FHB-resistant cultivars, continue to rank in the top three in durum planted acreage. In 2019, collectively they were planted on 58% of the acreage in North Dakota. In 2017, we released a new moderately resistant cultivar ND Riveland. ND Riveland has the lowest disease severity when compared to all cultivars grown in ND. It also has lower DON than all the cultivars with the exception of Joppa. We expect ND Riveland to be grown on large durum acres in North Dakota because of its high yield potential, excellent quality, lower FHB severity and low cadmium uptake. Based on FHB resistance, yield advantage, and the current planted acreage, the four cultivars will generate millions of dollars into the state economy.

3. Was this research impacted by the COVID-19 pandemic (i.e. university shutdowns, reduced or lack of support personnel, etc.)? If yes, please explain how this research was impacted or is continuing to be impacted.

Because of traveling restrictions we had to eliminate the Langdon, ND location and modify our strategies. All segregating populations and yield trials that normally are planted and evaluated for FHB in the Langdon location now they are planted in Prosper and Casselton locations that are near Fargo, ND.

4. What opportunities for training and professional development has the project provided?

Two students rated scab nurseries.

5. How have the results been disseminated to communities of interest?

Gave presentations at Field Days hosted by NDSU Research Centers and to trade teams through the ND Wheat Commissions.

PI: Elias, Elias

USDA-ARS Agreement #: 59-0206-8-198

Reporting Period: 5/5/19 - 5/4/20

Project 2: Identify Sources of Resistance to Fusarium Head Blight in Durum Wheat

1. What are the major goals and objectives of the research project?

The relevance of the project's objectives to the goals and research priorities of the USWBSI are as follow:

- 1) Screen diverse durum accessions from ICARDA for reaction to FHB in an FHB screening nursery located at the Jiangsu Academy of Agricultural Sciences in Nanjing, China (Action VDHR goals 1-3 and CP priority 1);
- 2) Re-evaluate the accessions exhibiting high levels of resistance in the preliminary screening test in the greenhouse and field screening nurseries in North Dakota (Action VDHR goals 1-3 and CP priority 1);
- 3) Determine whether the new sources of resistance carry novel resistant loci by marker haplotyping using the existing markers associated with known resistance QTL (Action VDHR goals 3 and CP priority 2);
- 4) Make crosses using the resistant lines and distribute them to durum wheat breeders (Action VDHR goals 1-3 and CP priority 3).

2. What was accomplished under these goals or objectives? (For each major goal/objective, address items a-b) below.)

- a) What were the major activities?
 - ➤ 1,000 lines were sent to China for evaluation.
 - 4 lines were tested and advanced from crossing adapted germplasm with Tunisian
 - 8 populations with 279 lines were tested and advanced from crossing adapted germplasm with PI 277012.
 - 8 lines were tested and advanced from crossing adapted germplasm with ICARDA accessions.
 - 1 line from previous evaluations and seven populations with 311 lines were tested and advanced from crossing adapted germplasm with *Triticum dicoccum*.
 - ▶ 6 F₄ populations were advanced from crossing adapted lines to various sources of
 - un-adapted moderately resistant accessions.
 - ➤ 13 F5 populations were advanced from crossing adapted lines to various sources of un-adapted moderately resistant accessions.
 - ➤ 44 F₆ populations were advanced in New Zealand winter nursery from crossing adapted lines to various sources of un-adapted moderately resistant accessions

b) What were the significant results?

Several experimental lines with FHB resistance from wild relatives and Tunisian sources of resistance were evaluated in yield trials for agronomic and quality traits

PI: Elias, Elias

USDA-ARS Agreement #: 59-0206-8-198

Reporting Period: 5/5/19 - 5/4/20

c) List key outcomes or other achievements.

Using wild relatives and unadapted germplasm is normally associated with linkage drag. However, from crossing adapted lines to various sources of un-adapted moderately resistant accessions, several experimental lines with lower linkage drag were selected and evaluated in yield trials.

3. Was this research impacted by the COVID-19 pandemic (i.e. university shutdowns, reduced or lack of support personnel, etc.)? If yes, please explain how this research was impacted or is continuing to be impacted.

Because of Covid-19 we were not able to travel to evaluate the China FHB nursery. The accessions will be replanted in 2020 in the China nursery.

4. What opportunities for training and professional development has the project provided?

Two students rated scab nurseries.

5. How have the results been disseminated to communities of interest?

Gave presentations at Field Days hosted by NDSU Research Centers and to trade teams through ND Wheat Commissions.

PI: Elias, Elias

USDA-ARS Agreement #: 59-0206-8-198

Reporting Period: 5/5/19 - 5/4/20

Training of Next Generation Scientists

Instructions: Please answer the following questions as it pertains to the FY19 award period (5/5/19 - 5/4/20). The term "support" below includes any level of benefit to the student, ranging from full stipend plus tuition to the situation where the student's stipend was paid from other funds, but who learned how to rate scab in a misted nursery paid for by the USWBSI, and anything in between.

1. Did any graduate students in your research program supported by funding from your USWBSI grant earn their MS degree during the FY19 award period?

No

If yes, how many?

2. Did any graduate students in your research program supported by funding from your USWBSI grant earn their Ph.D. degree during the FY19 award period?

No

If yes, how many?

3. Have any post docs who worked for you during the FY19 award period and were supported by funding from your USWBSI grant taken faculty positions with universities?

No

If yes, how many?

4. Have any post docs who worked for you during the FY19 award period and were supported by funding from your USWBSI grant gone on to take positions with private ag-related companies or federal agencies?

No

If yes, how many?

PI: Elias, Elias

USDA-ARS Agreement #: 59-0206-8-198

Reporting Period: 5/5/19 - 5/4/20

Release of Germplasm/Cultivars

Instructions: In the table below, list all germplasm and/or cultivars released with <u>full or partial</u> support through the USWBSI during the <u>FY19 award period</u>. All columns must be completed for each listed germplasm/cultivar. Use the key below the table for Grain Class abbreviations.

NOTE: Leave blank if you have nothing to report or if your grant did NOT include any VDHR-related projects.

		FHB Resistance		
		(S, MS, MR, R, where	FHB	
	Grain	R represents your most	Rating	Year
Name of Germplasm/Cultivar	Class	resistant check)	(0-9)	Released
ND Riveland	DUR	MR	4	2017

Add rows if needed.

NOTE: List the associated release notice or publication under the appropriate sub-section in the 'Publications' section of the FPR.

Abbreviations for Grain Classes

Barley - BAR
Durum - DUR
Hard Red Winter - HRW
Hard White Winter - HWW
Hard Red Spring - HRS
Soft Red Winter - SRW
Soft White Winter - SWW

PI: Elias, Elias

USDA-ARS Agreement #: 59-0206-8-198

Reporting Period: 5/5/19 - 5/4/20

Publications, Conference Papers, and Presentations

Instructions: Refer to the FY19-FPR_Instructions for detailed more instructions for listing publications/presentations about your work that resulted from all of the projects included in the FY19 grant award. Only citations for publications <u>published</u> (submitted or accepted) or presentations <u>presented</u> during the **award period** (5/5/19 - 5/4/20) should be included. If you did not publish/submit or present anything, state 'Nothing to Report' directly above the Journal publications section.

<u>NOTE:</u> Directly below each citation, you **must** indicate the Status (i.e. published, submitted, etc.) and whether acknowledgement of Federal support was indicated in the publication/presentation. See example below for a poster presentation with an abstract:

De Wolf, E., D. Shah, P. Paul, L. Madden, S. Crawford, D. Hane, S. Canty, R. Dill-Macky, D. Van Sanford, K. Imhoff and D. Miller. 2019. "Impact of Prediction Tools for Fusarium Head Blight in the US, 2009-2019." In: S. Canty, A. Hoffstetter, H. Campbell and R. Dill-Macky (Eds.), *Proceedings of the 2019 National Fusarium Head Blight Forum*, Milwaukee, WI; December 8-10. University of Kentucky, Lexington, KY. p. 12.

Status: Abstract Published and Poster Presented

Acknowledgement of Federal Support: YES (Abstract and Poster)

Journal publications.

S.M. Pirseyedi, A. Kumar, F. Ghavami, J.B. Hegstad1, M. Mergoum, M. Mazaheri, S.F. Kianian and E.M. Elias. 2019. Mapping QTL for Fusarium Head Blight Resistance in a Tunisian-derived Durum Wheat Population. Cereal Research Communications 47(1), pp. 78–87 (2019). DOI: 10.1556/0806.46.2018.053.

Status: Published

Acknowledgement of Federal Support: YES

Books or other non-periodical, one-time publications.

Nothing to report

Other publications, conference papers and presentations.

Epigenetic modifications: Jitendra Kumar, Krishan M. Rai, Seyed M. Pirseyedi, Steven Xu, Elias M. Elias, Ruth Dill-Macky and Shahryar Kianian (2019). A novel source of fhb resistance in durum wheat. In: S. Canty, A. Hoffstetter, H. Cambell and R. Dill-Macky (Eds). *Proceedings of the 2019 National Fusarium Head Blight Forum*. Milwaukee, WI: U.S. Wheat & Barley Scab Initiative. p. 98.

<u>Status</u>: Abstract Published and poster presented Acknowledgement of Federal Support: YES