

**USDA-ARS/
U.S. Wheat and Barley Scab Initiative
FY18 Performance Report
Due date: July 12, 2019**

Cover Page

Principle Investigator (PI):	Christina Cowger
Institution:	USDA-ARS
E-mail:	Christina.Cowger@ars.usda.gov
Phone:	919-513-7388
Fiscal Year:	2018
USDA-ARS Agreement ID:	N/A
USDA-ARS Agreement Title:	Improvement and Adoption of FHB Management Techniques.
FY18 USDA-ARS Award Amount:	\$ 32,042

USWBSI Individual Project(s)

USWBSI Research Category*	Project Title	ARS Award Amount
MGMT	Integrated Management of Winter Barley in Mid-Atlantic USA.	\$ 15,742
MGMT	Educating Soft Winter Wheat Producers on MR Varieties as the Foundation of FHB Management.	\$ 16,300
FY18 Total ARS Award Amount		\$ 32,042

Christina Cowger

7/9/2019

Principal Investigator

Date

* MGMT – FHB Management
 FST – Food Safety & Toxicology
 GDER – Gene Discovery & Engineering Resistance
 PBG – Pathogen Biology & Genetics
 EC-HQ – Executive Committee-Headquarters
 BAR-CP – Barley Coordinated Project
 DUR-CP – Durum Coordinated Project
 HWW-CP – Hard Winter Wheat Coordinated Project
 VDHR – Variety Development & Uniform Nurseries – Sub categories are below:
 SPR – Spring Wheat Region
 NWW – Northern Soft Winter Wheat Region
 SWW – Southern Soft Red Winter Wheat Region

Project 1: *Integrated Management of Winter Barley in Mid-Atlantic USA.*

1. What are the major goals and objectives of the project?

The first objective is to provide data to enhance the selection of Mid-Atlantic barleys with FHB resistance.

The second objective is to better understand profitability of integrating cultivar resistance and fungicide applications for scab reduction in Mid-Atlantic winter barley crops.

2. What was accomplished under these goals? *Address items 1-4) below for each goal or objective.*

1) Major activities

Starting in 2014-15, several Mid-Atlantic barley nurseries were screened annually for FHB resistance: the Uniform Winter Barley Yield Trial, Uniform Winter Malting Barley Nursery, Uniform Barley Winterhardiness Nursery, and the ARS Barley Elite Yield Trial. A total of about 105 checks and experimental lines are screened each year for FHB resistance in a replicated, inoculated, misted trial. Both two- and six-row barleys are accepted in all the nurseries. The P.I. provides symptom, test weight, and DON data to Dr. David Marshall and the Virginia Tech team to provide data, which include disease symptoms and DON. Some of these data were included in a peer-reviewed manuscript that was published in 2019.

In 2016-17, we concluded a 3-year integrated management experiment. In a split-plot design, main plots consisted of four barley cultivars widely grown in the Mid-Atlantic region and having different levels of FHB resistance. Three levels of spray treatment with Prosaro (“on-time,” “late,” and an unsprayed check) were the sub-plots. We published the results in the above-mentioned manuscript.

In 2017-18 and 2018-19, we conducted the first two years of a multi-year integrated management experiment using three winter barley cultivars with different levels of resistance to FHB: Violetta (MR), Thoroughbred (MR/MS), and Flavia (S). Inoculation was with *Fusarium*-infected corn spawn applied in three batches at one-week intervals. We used the six standard CP-IM fungicide treatments for Objective 1, plus four additional fungicide treatments, and all standard data were collected.

The treatments will allow comparisons of the efficacy of Miravis Ace to that of Prosaro and Caramba, and of three fungicide timings (spikes half emerged, spikes just fully emerged, and 6 days after spikes fully emerged). They will also allow estimation of the mean benefits of fungicide application, cultivar resistance, and the combination of the two in terms of yield, test weight, and DON reduction.

2) specific objectives – already given (question 1).

3) significant results

The first three-year integrated management experiment was concluded, and a peer-reviewed article is now published.

4) key outcomes or other achievements

Conclusions from the first winter barley integrated management experiment:

VARIETY RESISTANCE: Across the three years, DON ranked the cultivars Endeavor < Nomini = Thoroughbred < Atlantic.

FUNGICIDE TIMING: Neither visual disease symptoms nor deoxynivalenol (DON) gave any reason to prefer one of the fungicide timings over the other.

FUNGICIDE + RESISTANCE: Relative to the unsprayed treatment of the susceptible cultivar Atlantic, the percent DON reduction provided by the moderately resistant cultivar Endeavor was 70% (Fig. 1). The percent DON reduction from a fungicide application on Atlantic was 35%, averaging the two spray timings together. The combination of Endeavor's moderate resistance and a fungicide, again averaging the two timings, resulted in a 75% DON reduction compared to unsprayed Atlantic.

The second barley integrated management experiment has so far shown that Miravis Ace is as effective as Prosaro or Caramba when applied at early full heading or 6 days later. However, efficacy of all three products was lower when applied at 50% barley spike emergence.

3. What opportunities for training and professional development has the project provided?

None

4. How have the results been disseminated to communities of interest?

We have presented the results at field days in North Carolina, and published a peer-reviewed article in *Plant Disease*.

Project 2: *Educating Soft Winter Wheat Producers on MR Varieties as the Foundation of FHB Management.*

1. What are the major goals and objectives of the project?

Communicate about FHB management with producers and crop advisors who are not being reached or convinced to change their FHB management by current extension programs. The geographic / market class focus is on the soft winter wheat region, which was demonstrated by the producer survey to have special problems with MR variety adoption. Projects are aimed at strengthening the message and enhancing adoption of variety resistance, particularly in soft winter wheat.

2. What was accomplished under these goals? *Address items 1-4) below for each goal or objective.*

1) major activities

Two webinars on managing FHB in wheat were presented on Feb. 11 and Feb 18, 2019, through the American Society of Agronomy.

2) specific objectives

Reach large numbers of crop consultants with up-to-date and accurate FHB management information. Crop consultants were identified in the national USWBSI wheat and barley producer survey as the primary source of FHB information for the largest percentage of respondents.

3) significant results

The webinars had over 900 registrants each, and 231 to 343 live participants each. Hundreds of others viewed the webinars on their own schedules.

4) key outcomes or other achievements

There were many positive evaluations of the material presented, and numerous questions were answered either during the webinars or afterward by email.

3. What opportunities for training and professional development has the project provided?

None

4. How have the results been disseminated to communities of interest?

See webinars, above under significant results.

Training of Next Generation Scientists

Instructions: Please answer the following questions as it pertains to the FY18 award period. The term “support” below includes any level of benefit to the student, ranging from full stipend plus tuition to the situation where the student’s stipend was paid from other funds, but who learned how to rate scab in a misted nursery paid for by the USWBSI, and anything in between.

- 1. Did any graduate students in your research program supported by funding from your USWBSI grant earn their MS degree during the FY18 award period?**

No

If yes, how many?

- 2. Did any graduate students in your research program supported by funding from your USWBSI grant earn their Ph.D. degree during the FY18 award period?**

No

If yes, how many?

- 3. Have any post docs who worked for you during the FY18 award period and were supported by funding from your USWBSI grant taken faculty positions with universities?**

No

If yes, how many?

- 4. Have any post docs who worked for you during the FY18 award period and were supported by funding from your USWBSI grant gone on to take positions with private ag-related companies or federal agencies?**

No

If yes, how many?

Release of Germplasm/Cultivars

Instructions: In the table below, list all germplasm and/or cultivars released with full or partial support through the USWBSI during the FY18 award period. All columns must be completed for each listed germplasm/cultivar. Use the key below the table for Grain Class abbreviations.

NOTE: Leave blank if you have nothing to report or if your grant did NOT include any VDHR-related projects.

Name of Germplasm/Cultivar	Grain Class	FHB Resistance (S, MS, MR, R, where R represents your most resistant check)	FHB Rating (0-9)	Year Released

Add rows if needed.

NOTE: List the associated release notice or publication under the appropriate sub-section in the 'Publications' section of the FPR.

Abbreviations for Grain Classes

- Barley - BAR
- Durum - DUR
- Hard Red Winter - HRW
- Hard White Winter - HWW
- Hard Red Spring - HRS
- Soft Red Winter - SRW
- Soft White Winter - SWW

Publications, Conference Papers, and Presentations

Instructions: Refer to the FY18-FPR_Instructions for detailed instructions for listing publications/presentations about your work that resulted from all of the projects included in the FY18 grant. Only include citations for publications submitted or presentations given during your award period. If you did not have any publications or presentations, state 'Nothing to Report' directly above the Journal publications section.

NOTE: Directly below each reference/citation, you must indicate the Status (i.e. published, submitted, etc.) and whether acknowledgement of Federal support was indicated in publication/presentation. See example below for a poster presented at the FHB Forum:

Conley, E.J., and J.A. Anderson. 2018. Accuracy of Genome-Wide Prediction for Fusarium Head Blight Associated Traits in a Spring Wheat Breeding Program. In: Proceedings of the XXIV International Plant & Animal Genome Conference, San Diego, CA.

Status: Abstract Published and Poster Presented

Acknowledgement of Federal Support: YES (poster), NO (abstract)

Journal publications.

Cowger, C., Arellano, C., Marshall, D., and Fitzgerald, J. 2019. Managing Fusarium head blight in winter barley with cultivar resistance and fungicide. Plant Dis.

<https://doi.org/10.1094/PDIS-09-18-1582-RE>.

Status: Paper published and available online

Acknowledgement: YES (paper)

Books or other non-periodical, one-time publications.

Other publications, conference papers and presentations.

Cowger, C. 2018. Effect of three fungicide timings on FHB in winter barley. In: Canty, S., A. Hoffstetter, B. Wiermer and R. Dill-Macky (Eds.), *Proceedings of the 2018 National Fusarium Head Blight Forum* (p. 18). East Lansing, MI/Lexington, KY: U.S. Wheat & Barley Scab Initiative.

Status: Abstract Published and Poster Presented

Acknowledgement of Federal Support: YES (poster), NO (abstract)